

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/942,611	TANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2195	

All Participants:

(1) Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.

(2) Juele Clyne
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 May 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Possible rejection to claims 33 and 34; and better illustrate invention in claims 25 and 29
Claims discussed:
25, 29, 33 and 34
Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to cancel claims 33 and 34 and amend claims 25 and 29 to better illustrate that the actions that are deemed most effective in lowering the loads of the virtual computers are selected for conducting physical resource allocation when the actions are fed back to the means for implementing..